

Exhibit 2

<p>Tony Buffington</p> <p>I wanted to follow up and make sure you were still OK the Kirkpatrick West if the restaurants are still down and not drive through? We are dealing with it this morning. Thx</p> <p>We tried Id the developer that as of now Im against it all and they should stick with the already approved plan</p> <p>Meant, I've told</p> <p>Its not consistent with the community plan as their proposals would turn the area into a destination center rather than an area to serve the local community</p> <p>The road network is not prepared for a destination center and the majority</p> <p></p> <p>Forward to Sept 7 mtg?</p> <p>Type a message...  </p>	<p>Tony Buffington</p> <p>Thanks</p> <p>Thank you</p> <p>This is what I just sent Phyllis: Good morning. Regarding KW who told the applicant that Im against everything they are currently proposing as it would turn the area into a destination center rather than an area to serve the local community. The vast majority of surrounding residents are adamantly opposed to the proposals and don't want any of it with the exception of what has already been approved (regular sized Hardee's Teeter). Additionally, the road network already congested and not prepared for the area to become a destination center that will draw in outside traffic that otherwise wouldn't go there.</p> <p>OK</p> <p>I just sent that to Ron and Kristen also. I previously spoke with Suzanne on the phone so I didn't send it to her.</p> <p>Forward to Sept 7 mtg?</p> <p>Type a message...  </p>	<p>Tony Buffington</p> <p>Forward to Sept 7 mtg?</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>OK</p> <p>No inactivity. Recommend denial of drive throughs</p> <p>They want to suspend the applications for drive throughs. They would be able to bring them back in future for a vote.</p> <p>Id rather vote them down</p> <p>That leaves it hanging over the residents head</p> <p>Not reply. Just shortens the process. They don't have to start over. Inactive.</p> <p>Id rather vote them down</p> <p>That leaves it hanging over the residents head</p> <p>Not reply. Just shortens the process. They don't have to start</p> <p>Forward to Sept 7 mtg?</p> <p>Type a message...  </p>	<p>Tony Buffington</p> <p>Forward to Sept 7 mtg?</p> <p>Id rather vote them down</p> <p>That leaves it hanging over the residents head</p> <p>Not really. Just shortens the process. They don't have to start over. Inactive.</p> <p>Could bring back at anytime</p> <p>Vote no</p> <p>Yes. But they could do that anyway</p> <p>Would be less likely they would try to bring it back</p> <p>Im against it all Geery. And so are the constituents. The applicant already has an approved regular sized Hardee's Teeter. Thats all that's wanted</p> <p>We don't need further discussion of drive throughs</p> <p>Good</p> <p>Thx</p> <p>We are sending it to the September meeting with no recommendation.</p> <p>Thank you</p> <p>Time for You to work out.</p> <p></p> <p>Type a message...  </p>	<p>Tony Buffington</p> <p>I wanted to follow up and make sure you were still OK the Kirkpatrick West if the restaurants are still down and not drive through? We are dealing with it this morning. Thx</p> <p>We tried Id the developer that as of now Im against it all and they should stick with the already approved plan</p> <p>Meant, I've told</p> <p>Its not consistent with the community plan as their proposals would turn the area into a destination center rather than an area to serve the local community</p> <p>The road network is not prepared for a destination center and the majority</p> <p></p> <p>Type a message...  </p>
---	--	--	--	---